

# CATALYTIC CONVERSION OF LIGNOCELLULOSIC BIOMASS TO VALUE-ADDED CHEMICALS

SAMUEL KASSAYE



DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

April 2018

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2018

# CATALYTIC CONVERSION OF LIGNOCELLULOSIC BIOMASS TO VALUE ADDED CHEMICALS

by

**SAMUEL KASSAYE**

**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING**

*Submitted*

*in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

**to the**



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**  
**April 2018**

**Dedicated to**

**Selam, Milka and Brook**

*I am grateful to have you in this journey*

## Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, "CATALYTIC CONVERSION OF LIGNOCELLULOIC BIOMASS TO VALUE-ADDED CHEMICALS" submitted by Mr. Samuel Kassaye to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of Degree of Doctor of Philosophy is a record of original bonafide research work carried out by him under my guidance and supervision. The thesis has reached the standards of fulfilling the requirements of the regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for awarding the degree.

The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Date: 30/10/2017

New Delhi

**Dr. Kamal K. Pant** (Supervisor)  
Professor  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India



**Dr. Sapna Jain** (Co-supervisor)  
Associate professor  
Department of Physical Sciences  
Alabama State University,  
Montgomery, Al, USA.

## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to thank, first and foremost my supervisor, Prof. Kamal K. Pant, Department of Chemical Engineering, India Institute of Technology Delhi, for his greatest guidance, patience, support and mentorship towards the accomplishment of this research work. It is truly an honour and great privilege to have the chance of working under his guidance. Despite of busy schedules, he is always there to help, follow research progress, review and edit journal papers. Without his valuable suggestions, insightful guidance and corrections, this work would have not been realized. During this years of study and research work, he helped me a lot and I gained invaluable knowledge and broadened my horizon of wisdom tremendously in many directions.

I would also like to forward my gratitude and appreciation to my co-supervisor Dr. Sapna Jain, Department of Physical Science, Alabama State University, USA. I would not imagine the successful completion of this research work without her constant support and encouragement. I am thankful and appreciate all her kind support and feedbacks which shaped me to be the person I am now.

I would like to thank my research committee members Prof. S. K. Khare, Dr. Sreedevi Upadhyayula and Dr. M. Ali Haider for their valuable suggestions and critics during the course of this research work. I have obtained an enormous insight and valuable comments which improved my research work greatly.

I am very much grateful to be part of an excellent laboratory and working environment which was a profound encouragement to carry out my research work consistently and comfortably. I am thankful for all my lab mates and members. I forward my sincere appreciation to Mr Vishesh Kumar, Mr Krishina Kumar and Mr Suchit Kumar for their valuable help during time of need. I

am grateful to senior lab mates; Dr. Pravakar Mohanty, Dr. Snehal Kant Parmar, Dr. Tarak Mondal, Dr. Dinesh Kumar and Dr. Sachit Kumar sharing from your experience was a profound help during my stay. I am extremely thankful for my present lab mates; Ms Sonal, Ms Uma, Mr Rohit Kumar, Mr Saurabh Mishra, Mr Ejaz Ahmad, Mr Sonit Balyan, Mr Prashant, Mr Koshal Parmar, Dr. Dinesh Gupta and all the other research scholars with whom I have worked with at Catalytic Reaction Engineering Laboratory (CRE), IIT Delhi. I am very much thankful to the numerous people of Chemical Engineering Department who supported me in my research work both directly and indirectly.

Special thanks to Centre for Rural Development and Technology (CRDT) and Nanoscale Research Facility (NRF) for their support to let me use HPLC equipment. Special thanks to Mr Hari Krishna for his kind support whenever needed. I wish to thank Chemical Engineering Department staff for their constant support specially Prof. Anurag S. Rathore and Dr. Shalini Gupta for helping to me to use their Lab equipment. Special thanks to Mr Rajat Gupta and Sumit Singh helping me with my HPLC analysis.

I am grateful to express the motivation and inspiration I have obtained from my mother, brothers and sister. It would have been very difficult to accomplish this task without your support and advices. Special thanks to my wife Selam, my daughter Milka and son Brook who have been my motivation and I am lucky to have you in my life. I am also thankful to my friends Mr Yohannes, Mr Firew, Mr Solomon, Mr Samuel, Mr Wondalem and all Ethiopian students at IIT-Delhi with whom I spent memorable time.

New Delhi

**(SAMUEL KASSAYE)**

April 2018

## Abstract

Non-renewability of fossil fuels and the challenges associated with its utilization such as price fluctuation due to political instability of oil rich regions, environmental concerns, imbalance between energy supply and population growth and uneven distribution of these resources in the globe are some of the compelling factors to research for sustainable and renewable energy resources. Biomass is one of the most promising candidate along with solar, wind and hydrothermal energies for sustainable and renewable energy demand. Being the most abundant and bio-renewable resource, lignocellulosic biomass has the potential to serve as feed stock for the production of second generation bioethanol and platform chemicals without competing with food supply. Lignocellulosic biomass is composed of three bio-polymeric components: cellulose (35–50%), hemicellulose (20–35%) and lignin (5–30%). Valorisation of lignocellulosic biomass provides a wide range of valuable renewable chemicals such as glucose, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) and levulinic acid which can be used for the production of bioenergy and industrially valuable bio-chemicals. 5-HMF has been considered as one of the most important biomass derived chemical due to its applicability for the production of biofuel and industrially important intermediate chemicals.

In this work, hydrolysis of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) and cellulosic bamboo biomass (CBB) were investigated for sugar production using the most commonly known hydrophilic ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methyl imidazolium chloride ([BMIM] Cl), in the presence of catalyst. Hydrolysis of model microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) and cellulosic bamboo biomass using catalysts such as dilute sulphuric acid, chromium impregnated zeolite (Cr/H-ZSM-5) and sulfate ion promoted zirconia (SZ) catalyst in synergy with 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([BMIM] Cl) ionic liquid were studied in a batch reactor. [BMIM] Cl was synthesized by nucleophilic substitution

reaction and characterized using Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), proton-nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) spectroscopy and Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The solid biomass samples and catalysts were also characterized using BET-surface area analyser, Elemental analysis, FTIR, XDR, SEM, H-NMR, Ammonia-TPD and TGA. The effect of depolymerization temperature, time, catalyst loading, substrate type and solvent type studied in detail.

Hydrolysis of MCC using dilute sulphuric acid in [BMIM] Cl ionic liquid was studied vigorously. MCC was dissolved in [BMIM] Cl and subsequently hydrolysed by catalytic amount of dilute sulphuric acid. It was observed that prior dissolution of MCC with [BMIM] Cl resulted with high yield of total reducing sugars (TRS) (92%) compared with the direct mixing of MCC, [BMIM] Cl and sulphuric acid catalyst which resulted in lower yield of TRS (25%). Similarly, cellulosic bamboo biomass was hydrolysed in dilute sulphuric acid in the presence of [BMIM] Cl and the investigation revealed that the TRS yield increased to 64% after alkaline solution pre-treatment in comparison to untreated bamboo biomass (BB) which yielded 30% TRS. However incorporation of [BMIM] Cl treatment after alkaline solution pre-treatment steps, improved the yield of TRS to 80%.

Furthermore, depolymerization of MCC was further studied using solid acid catalyst to overcome the challenges associated with homogeneous acid catalyst. In this regard, sulfated zirconia catalyst was effective in depolymerizing MCC yielding a maximum of TRS of 57% (38% glucose and 14% fructose), 9.5% LA and 5.1 of 5-HMF at a temperature of 180 °C and 3 h of depolymerization time. In addition, sulfated zirconia was tested for dehydration of glucose and fructose and a yield of 26% and 62% of 5-HMF were obtained, respectively. In addition, protonated zeolite (H-ZSM-5, SAR number 55) and chromium impregnated H-ZSM-5 catalysts (Cr/H-ZSM-5) were investigated for transformation of MCC and hexoses (fructose and glucose) to value added

chemicals. H-ZSM-5 depolymerized MCC to give a TRS of 70% (34% glucose, 8% fructose) and 3.4% 5-HMF while Cr/H-ZSM-5 catalyst was found to dehydrate fructose and glucose yielding 55% and 24% of 5-HMF, respectively. The strong Bronsted acidity in H-ZSM-5 catalyst played a crucial role for cellulose hydrolysis while improved Lewis acidity due to the incorporation of chromium metal in Cr/H-ZSM-5 enhanced fructose dehydration. Further, the reaction kinetics of cellulose depolymerization was studied using H-ZSM-5 catalyst. The results revealed that activation energy of for both formation and degradation of sugar was found to be  $85.83 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $42.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. The use of [BMIM] Cl ionic liquid significant decreased the activation energy of both steps due to the ionic effect of [BMIM] Cl ionic liquid compared with aqueous solution media.

## सार

लिग्नाकोलेलोसिक बायोमास में भोजन की आपूर्ति के साथ कंप्यूटिंग किए बिना दूसरी पीढ़ी के बायोएथेनॉल के उत्पादन के लिए फ़ीड स्टॉक के रूप में काम करने की क्षमता है। यह तीन जैव-पोलीमरिक घटकों से बना है: सेलूलोज़ (35-50%), हेमिसेल्यूलोज़ (20-35%) और लिग्निन (5-30%)। लिगोनोक्लुलोजिक बायोमास के मूल्य निर्धारण में ग्लूकोस, 5-हाइड्रोक्साइमेथफूरफ्यूरल (5-एचएमएफ) और लेव्लिनिक एसिड जैसे मूल्यवान अक्षय रसायनों की एक विस्तृत श्रृंखला उपलब्ध है जो जैव-ऊर्जा और औद्योगिक रूप से मूल्यवान जैव रसायन के उत्पादन के लिए इस्तेमाल किया जा सकता है। जैव ईंधन और औद्योगिक रूप से महत्वपूर्ण मध्यवर्ती रसायनों के उत्पादन के लिए 5-एचएमएफ को इसकी प्राप्यता के कारण सबसे महत्वपूर्ण बायोमास व्युत्पन्न रासायनिक के रूप में माना गया है। इस शोध कार्य में, ईओण तरल का विशेष रूप से इमिडाज़ोलियम आधारित विघटन, हाइड्रोजन बॉन्डिंग नेटवर्क को तोड़कर, क्रिस्टललिटी को कम करने और पॉलिमराइजेशन की डिग्री को कम करके, लिगोनोसेल्यूलस बायोमास के अवशेष प्रकृति को भंग करने और दूर करने के लिए सबसे अधिक कुशल सॉल्वेंट माना जाता है, जो सभी को बढ़ाते हैं सेलूलोज़ का हाइड्रोलिसिस पतला सल्फ्यूरिक एसिड, क्रोमियम गर्भवती जियोलाइट (सीआर / एच-जेएसएम -5) और सल्फेटेड आयन जैसे उत्प्रेरक का उपयोग करके मॉडल माइक्रोक़्रिस्टलाइन सेल्यूलोज़ (एमसीसी) और सेल्यूलोसिक बांस बायोमास का हाइड्रोलिसिस 1-ब्युटिले -3 के साथ तालमेल में ज़िरकोनिया

(एसजेड) उत्प्रेरक को बढ़ावा देता है। मेथिलिमिडाजोलियम क्लोराइड ([बीएमआईएम] सीएल) एक बैच रिएक्टर में ईओणिक तरल का अध्ययन किया गया। [बीएमआईएम] सीएल न्यूक्लियोफिलिक प्रतिस्थापन प्रतिक्रिया द्वारा संश्लेषित किया गया था और फूरियर का उपयोग अवरक्त स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (एफटीआईआर), प्रोटॉन-परमाणु चुंबकीय अनुनाद स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (एचएनएमआर) और थेर्मोग्राविमेट्रिक विश्लेषण (टीजीए) को बदलकर किया गया था। ठोस बायोमास नमूनों और उत्प्रेरक भी बीईटी सतह क्षेत्र विश्लेषक, एलिमेंटल विश्लेषण, एफटीआईआर, एक्सडीआर, एसईएम, एच-एनएमआर, अमोनिया-टीपीडी और टीजीए का उपयोग कर रहे थे। डिपोलीराइजेशन तापमान, समय, उत्प्रेरक लोडिंग और विलायक प्रकार का प्रभाव विस्तार से अध्ययन किया। एसजेड उत्प्रेरक एमसीसी द्वारा प्रतिदिन कम करने वाली चीनी (टीआरएस) की अधिकतम क्षमता को 57% (38% ग्लूकोज और 14% फ्रक्टोज), 9.5% एलए और 5-एचएमएफ का 5.1 डिग्री सेल्सियस पर 180 डिग्री सेल्सियस और 3 एच उपज देने से प्रभावी साबित हुआ। एसजेड क्रमशः 26% और 62% 5-एचएमएफ उपज देने वाले शर्करा (फ्रक्टोज और ग्लूकोस) को फैलाने में भी प्रभावी था। इसके अलावा, एसजेड उत्प्रेरक और आयनिक तरल के synergistic प्रभाव में सेलूलोज़ डिपोमिराइजेशन के एक बैच गतिज मॉडल अध्ययन शामिल हैं।

## Table of Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	vii
List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xxi
List of Symbols	xxv
CHAPTER 1	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Biomass for value-added chemical production	3
1.3 Biorefinary Concept	6
1.4 Motivation	6
1.5 Objectives	7
1.5.1 Specific objectives	7
1.6 Structure of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2	
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Classification of biomass	10
2.3 Lignocellulosic Biomass	12
2.3.1 Cellulose	13
2.3.2 Hemicellulose	15
2.3.3 Lignin	16
2.4 Biomass treatment and conversion pathways	17
2.4.1 Biomass pretreatment	18
2.4.1.1 Mechanical pretreatment	20
2.4.1.2 Steam explosion pretreatment	20
2.4.1.3 Ammonia fiber explosion pretreatment	21
2.4.1.4 Hot water pretreatment	22
2.4.1.5 Supercritical pretreatment	23
2.4.1.6 Acid pretreatment	23

2.4.1.7 Alkaline pretreatment	24
2.4.1.8 Biological pretreatment	25
2.4.1.9 Ionic liquid pretreatment	26
2.5 Lignocellulosic biomass conversion pathways	28
2.5.1 Thermo-chemical method	28
2.5.2 Biochemical pathway	29
2.5.3 Chemical catalytic pathway	30
2.5.3.1 Dissolution of cellulose	31
2.5.3.2 Depolymerization of cellulose	38
2.5.3.3 Dehydration of hexoses	44
2.6 Summary	49
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	
3.1 Introduction	55
3.2 Materials	55
3.3 Methods	56
3.3.1 Synthesis and characterization of [BMIM] Cl	56
3.3.2 Dissolution, regeneration and characterization of MCC	57
3.3.3 Bamboo biomass pretreatment and characterization	59
3.3.3.1 Analysis of ash content	59
3.3.3.2 Extractive removal	59
3.3.3.3 Bamboo biomass pretreatment	59
3.3.3.4 Biomass characterization	60
3.3.4 Catalyst preparation	62
3.3.5 Catalyst characterization	62
3.3.6 Biomass Depolymerization and Product Analysis	63
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	
4.1 Preface	66
4.2 Introduction	66
4.3 Result and discussion	68
4.3.1 Characterization of [BMIM] Cl	68

4.3.1.1 FTIR analysis	69
4.3.1.2 TGA analysis	70
4.3.1.3 H-NMR analysis	71
4.3.2 Characterization of original and regenerated MCC	72
4.3.2.1 FT-IR analysis	73
4.3.2.2 SEM analysis	75
4.3.2.3 TGA analysis	76
4.3.2.4 XRD analysis	78
4.4 Dilute acid hydrolysis of MCC	80
4.4.1 Effect of Temperature	83
4.4.2 Effect of Hydrolysis Time	85
4.4.3 Effect of Acid Concentration	86
4.5 Conclusion	88
<b>CHAPTER FIVE</b>	
5.1 Preface	90
5.2 Introduction	90
5.3 Result and Discussion	92
5.3.1 Extractive Removal	93
5.3.2 Biomass Pre-treatment	93
5.3.3 Ultimate and Proximate Analysis	94
5.3.4 XRD analysis	96
5.3.5 SEM analysis	97
5.3.6 FTIR analysis	98
5.3.7 TGA-DTA analysis	100
5.4 Dilute Acid Hydrolysis of Cellulosic Bamboo Biomass	102
5.4.1 Effect of Temperature	103
5.4.2 Effect of Hydrolysis Time	103
5.4.3 Effect of Acid Concentration	105
5.4.4 Effect of Substrate Type	106
5.4.5 Conclusion	108

CHAPTER 6	
6.1 Preface	109
6.2 Introduction	109
6.3 Result and discussion	111
6.3.1 BET surface area	111
6.3.2 XRD analysis	111
6.3.3 FTIR analysis	113
6.3.4 TGA analysis	115
6.3.5 Ammonia TPD analysis	116
6.4 Depolymerization of MCC	118
6.4.1 Effect of Temperature	118
6.4.2 Effect of Depolymerization Time	120
6.4.3 Effect of Catalyst Loading	121
6.4.4 Effect of Co-solvent Type	123
6.4.5 Effect of Substrate Type	125
6.5 Hexoses to 5-HMF Conversion	127
6.6 Mechanism of MCC Depolymerization	130
6.7 Conclusion	131
CHAPTER 7	
7.1 Preface	132
7.2 Introduction	134
7.3 Result and discussion	134
7.3.1 Catalyst Characterization	134
7.3.1.1 FTIR Analysis	135
7.3.1.2 XRD Analysis	136
7.3.1.3 BET Analysis	137
7.3.1.4 Ammonia-TPD Analysis	138
7.4 Catalyst Testing	138
7.4.1 Dehydration of Fructose and Glucose	139

7.4.2 Depolymerization of MCC	142
7.5 Kinetic Modelling of MCC Depolymerization	144
7.5.1 TRS Formation and Degradation	146
7.6 Conclusion	151
CHAPTER 8	
8.1 Conclusion	152
8.2 Future Scope	156
Reference	159
Appendix	184
Publications	193
Author Bio-data	194

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1 World energy consumption by energy source, 1990–2040 (quadrillion Btu))	2
Figure 1.2 Schematics of potential chemical production from biomass resources	4
Figure 2.1 Classification of biomass	11
Figure 2.2 Schematic representations the structure of lignocellulosic biomass	12
Figure 2.3: Schematic representation of the structure of single cellulose molecule	14
Figure 2.4 Schematic of hemicellulose Structure	15
Figure 2.5 Schematics of lignin structure	17
Figure 2.6 Schematic representation of the effects of pre-treatment on lignocellulosic biomass components	19
Figure 2.7 Schematic representation for the production of 5-HMF from lignocellulosic biomass	44
Figure 2.8 Various oxidation and hydrogenation derivatives of 5-HMF	45
Figure 3.1 Schematic representation of [BMIM] Cl synthesis	57
Figure 3.2 Schematics of process steps for depolymerization of microcrystalline cellulose	64
Figure 4.1 FTIR analysis of [BMIM] Cl	69
Figure 4.2 TGA analysis of [BMIM] Cl	71
Figure 4.3 H-NMR analysis of [BMIM] Cl	72
Figure 4.4 FTIR analysis of original and Regenerated MCC	74

Figure 4.5 SEM images of original and regenerated MCC	76
Figure 4.6 TGA and DTA analysis of original and regenerated MCC	78
Figure 4.7 XRD pattern of original and regenerated MCC	80
Figure 4.8 Effect of prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl on TRS yield. Reaction conditions: reaction temperature of 180 °C, acid concentration 5 % v/v, stirring rate of 500 rpm and substrate to [BMIM] Cl ratio 1: 20 (w/w)	83
Figure 4.9 Effect of temperature on TRS Yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: reaction time of 120 minutes, sulphuric acid concentration of 5 % v/v, stirring rate of 500 rpm and substrate to [BMIM] Cl ratio 1: 20 (w/w)	84
Figure 4.10 Effect of hydrolysis time on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: acid concentration of 5 % v/v and substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio and stirring rate of 500rpm	86
Figure 4.11 Effect of acid loading on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio and stirring rate of 500rpm	88
Figure 5.1 XRD pattern of OBB, RBI and RBII	97
Figure 5.2 SEM images analysis of OBB, RBI and RBII	98
Figure 5.3 FTIR analysis of OBB, RBI and RBII	99
Figure 5.4 TGA and DTA analysis of OBB, RBI and RBII	101

Figure 5.5 Effect of acid loading on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio and stirring rate of 500rpm...	104
Figure 5.6 Effect of acid loading on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio and stirring rate of 500rpm	105
Figure 5.7 Effect of acid loading on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio and stirring rate of 500rpm	106
Figure 5.8 Effect of substrate type on TRS yield with prior dissolution of MCC in [BMIM] Cl. Reaction conditions: substrate to [BMIM] Cl of 1: 20 (w/w) ratio, 180 °C and stirring rate of 500rpm	107
Figure 6.1 XRD pattern of ZrO <sub>2</sub> and Sulfated Zirconia (SZ)	113
Figure 6.2 FTIR analysis of ZrO <sub>2</sub> and Sulfated Zirconia (SZ)	114
Figure 6.3. TGA and DTA analysis of ZrO <sub>2</sub>	115
Figure 6.4. TGA and DTA analysis of Sulfated Zirconia	116
Figure 6.5 Ammonia TPD analysis of Zirconia oxide and Sulfated Zirconia (SZ) Catalyst	117

Figure 6.6 Effect of Temperature on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	119
Depolymerization condition: Catalyst to substrate loading 2:1 (w/w), stirring rate of 500 rpm, substrate to [BMIM] Cl ratio 1: 20 (w/w), co-solvent: 5 ml water and 3 hours of depolymerization time	
Figure 6.7 Effect of depolymerization time on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	121
Depolymerization condition: reaction temperature of 180 °C, catalyst to substrate loading 2:1 (w/w), stirring rate of 500 rpm and substrate to [BMIM] Cl ratio 1: 20 (w/w), 5 ml water and 3 hours of depolymerization time	
Figure 6.8 Effect of catalyst loading on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	123
Depolymerization condition: weight ratio of [BMIM] Cl to MCC of 20, 5 ml water and stirring rate of 500 rpm	
Figure 6.9 Effect of Co-solvent type on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	125
Depolymerization condition: weight ratio of [BMIM] Cl to MCC of 20, 5 ml water and stirring rate of 500 rpm	
Figure 6.10 Effect of Substrate type on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	127
Depolymerization condition: weight ratio of [BMIM] Cl to MCC of 20, 5 ml water and stirring rate of 500 rpm	
Figure 6.11a and 6.11b Effect of Substrate type on TRS, Glucose, Fructose, 5-HMF and LA yield.	129
Depolymerization condition: weight ratio of [BMIM] Cl to MCC of 20, 5 ml water and stirring rate of 500 rpm	

Figure 7.1 FTIR analysis of H-ZSM-5 and Cr/H-ZSM-5 catalysts	135
Figure 7.2 XRD pattern of H-ZSM-5 and Cr/H-ZSM-5 catalysts	136
Figure 7.3 Ammonia-TPD analysis of H-ZSM-5 and Cr/H-ZSM-5 catalysts	137
Figure 7.4 Effect of temperature and time on the yield of 5-HMF from fructose (a) and glucose (b)	141
Figure 7.5a Depolymerization of MCC using H-ZSM-5: temperature 180 °C, catalyst to substrate ratio of 2:1 and 3 hours of depolymerization time	142
Figure 7.5b Effect of depolymerization time: temperature 180 °C, catalyst to substrate ratio of 2:1 (w/w)	143
Figure 7.6 Kinetic modelling of cellulose hydrolysis using H-ZSM-5 catalyst in [BMIM] Cl-water media	149
Figure 7.7 Determination of rate constants for cellulose hydrolysis using H-ZSM-5 catalyst in [BMIM] Cl	150

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 Chemical composition of selected lignocellulosic biomass feedstock	13
Table 2.2 Summary of literature survey on the use of different solvents for lignocellulosic biomass dissolution	36
Table 2.3 Summary of literature survey on the use of different solvents for lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysis	42
Table 2.4 Summary of literature survey on the use of different solvents for lignocellulosic biomass dehydration	47
Table 4.1 Summarizes the FTIR result of [BMIM] Cl ionic liquid	70
Table 4.2 Summarizes the FTIR analysis of [BMIM] Cl ionic liquid	75
Table 4.3 Crystallinity index of original and regenerated MCC	79
Table 5.1 Optimization of alkaline pre-treatment of bamboo biomass and crystallinity index measurement	95
Table 5.2 Ultimate and proximate analysis of bamboo biomass	96
Table 6.1 Crystalline phase and BET surface area analysis of ZrO <sub>2</sub> and Sulfated Zirconia (SZ)	112
Table 7.1 BET surface area of H-ZSM-5 and Cr/H-ZSM-5 catalysts	137
Table 7.1 Kinetic rate constants at different temperatures	151

## List of Symbols

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
MCC	Microcrystalline cellulose
BB	Bamboo biomass
RBI	Recovered bamboo biomass I, alkaline treated biomass
RBII	Recovered bamboo biomass II, ionic liquid treated bamboo
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
TGA-DTA	Thermogravimetric and Differential thermogravimetric analysis
XRD	X-ray diffraction
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared
ATR-FTIR	Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier transform spectroscopy
BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller
CHNS	Carbon-hydrogen-nitrogen-sulphur
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
NH <sub>3</sub> -TPD	Temperature programmed desorption of ammonia
SZ	Sulfated Zirconia
[BMIM] Cl	1-butyl-3-methylimidazoliumchloride
PS-BIM-Cl	Polystyrene supported butyl imidazolium chloride
IL	Ionic Liquid
LCB	Lignocellulosic Biomass
CPP	Clean Power Plan
5-HMF	5-hydroxymethylfurfural
LA	Levulinic acid

2,5-DMF	2,5-dimethylfuran
2,5-DFF	2,5-diformylfuran
2,5-BHF	2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-furan
2,5-FDCA	2,5-furandicarboxylic acid
H-ZSM-5	Protonated Zeolite Socony Mobil-5
AFEX	Ammonia fiber explosion pre-treatment
H-NMR	Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DMF	Dimethylformamide
DMA	Dimethylacetamide
CrI	Crystallinity index
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory procedure
T.C.D	Thermal conductivity detector
RID	Refractive index detector
DNS	3, 5-dinitrisalicylic acid method
UV-vis	UV-Visible spectroscopy
TRS	Total reducing sugar
T	Tetragonal phase
M	Monoclinic phase

### **Greek letters**

$\lambda$	X-ray wavelength
$\kappa$	constant in XRD

$\theta$  Radiation angle  
 $\beta$  Peak width at half height

## **NOMENCLATURE**

$E_i$  Activation energy for rate constant of reaction,  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$   
 $k_i$  Rate constant for reaction,  $\text{mol}^{-1}$