

**INDOOR AIR POLLUTION ASSOCIATED  
WITH COOKING FUELS: AN EXPOSURE AND  
RESPIRATORY HEALTH ASSESSMENT STUDY  
IN JHAJHAR DISTRICT OF HARYANA**

by

**VINOD JOON**

**Centre for Energy Studies**

*Thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements  
of the degree of*

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI  
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI-110016 (INDIA)  
MARCH, 2011**

## **CERTIFICATE**

It is hereby certified that the thesis entitled "**Indoor Air Pollution Associated with Cooking Fuels: An Exposure and Respiratory Health Assessment Study in Jhajjar District of Haryana**" which is being submitted by **Ms. Vinod Joon (2005ESZ8296)** is entirely the result of her own efforts. The work was carried out by her under our supervision at Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi and the results contained in it have not been submitted in part or full to any other university for award of any degree/diploma.

**Dr. Avinash Chandra**  
Professor  
Centre for Energy Studies  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi.  
Hauz khas,  
New Delhi – 110016 (India)

**Dr. M. Bhattacharya**  
Professor  
Department of Community Health Admn.  
National Institute of Health & Family Welfare  
Munirka  
New Delhi – 110016 (India))

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Avinash Chandra and Prof. M. Bhattacharya for their inspiration, advice, guidance and support from the beginning of investigation to final preparation of the thesis. Their progressive outlook and hard working attitude has always inspired me. I would like to thank them for all their efforts.

The facilities and technical support extended by Prof. A.K. Sharma, Head, Centre for Energy Studies (CES) and Prof. S.C. Kaushik, former head CES, Prof. Bhatti, PhD Coordinator, provided me necessary platform for my present study. The technical service extended by L.D. Kala and other technical staffs at CES was truly remarkable. I extend my thanks to the entire faculty and staff of CES. I would like to thank Dr. Krishan Kumar of School of Environmental Sciences, JNU for his valuable guidance in statistical part of thesis.

I unveil my sincere thanks to my fellow researchers at Centre for Energy Studies, Ms Harshika Kumari, Ms. Manisha, Mr. Suresh Kumar and Mr. Kapil Sharma for their assistance in experiments and advice. I also thank my colleagues at NIHFWS Ms Vaishali, Rashmi, Radha, and Divya who have been very helpful during my work.

I appreciate and acknowledge the support of my husband Mr. Kuldeep Joon, who always rendered his support, help and encouragement. I appreciate my son Yashaswi for his understanding and cooperation. I acknowledge the support of my parents who always stood firm behind my emotional needs and blessed me with their love. My thanks are also due to my sister-in-law Promila for her support during field survey and monitoring. I thank God for his blessings. Last but not the least; I intend to thank all the villagers of my study area who agreed to be part of my research work.

(Vinod Joon)

## ABSTRACT

Indoor air pollution due to cooking fuels is a major public health problem in developing countries like India. This thesis is an attempt to investigate the indoor air pollution levels during cooking with various fuels and its health effects on the cook. A survey was done in study area to study the fuel use pattern. More than 80% households were using biomass fuels for cooking either exclusively or along with some clean fuel usually LPG. The commonly used fuels/stoves combinations were tested in the laboratory for carbon monoxide (CO) and TSP emissions. The results show that dung cakes produced maximum emissions when burned in traditional Indian stove (Chulah) followed by dung cake/Hara, crop residues/Chulah, kerosene and LPG in that order. Characteristics of the cooking fuels have also been studied by doing proximate and ultimate analysis fuels. Mass and energy based emission factors of various fuels/stoves combinations were then determined.

Monitoring of indoor air quality was done in five villages of Jhajjar district of Haryana for particulates (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and CO, the most important pollutant from health point of view, during cooking and non-cooking periods. Exposure level for the main cook of each household was estimated on case-to-case basis, based on results of field monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CO and time activity data of the cook. Exposure levels of the cook were influenced by type and amount of fuel used for cooking, heating and preparing cattle feed, type of stove, type of kitchen and duration of cooking. Indoor cooking resulted in maximum exposures while outdoor cooking in open reduced it significantly. The findings of this study's exposure assessment component, strengthen the evidence that the use of biomass fuels in traditional stoves exposes the cook to levels of air pollution that well exceed health guidelines available. Importantly, the study

shows that this holds true even when cooking is done in open.

Pulmonary function test by spirometry and American Thoracic Society's respiratory questionnaire were used to assess the respiratory health of the cook in both biomass and LPG using households. The results show that the cumulative exposure to biomass smoke was associated with greater prevalence of respiratory symptoms. Self-declared respiratory symptoms (cough, phlegm, nasal discharge, nasal obstruction and sneezing) were present in 49.1 % of women who cooked exclusively with biomass fuels, 36.7% of women who cooked with mix fuels in contrast to 11.7 % of LPG-users. In addition, biomass users had significantly higher prevalence of recurring headache and dizziness. Lung function was impaired in 71% of biomass and 53% of mix fuel users against 37.5% of LPG users. Lung function impairment was mostly of obstructive type. Reduction of lung function was found positively associated with levels of exposure to biomass smoke. Exhaled breath CO was also measured as a biomarker of recent exposure, before and after cook's exposure to smoke in a sub sample of population. The results showed that, cooking smoke caused a significant CO body burden as the breath CO levels of biomass users were 4-6 times higher as compared to the LPG users. Considering the extensive use of biomass fuels in the countryside and their potential health hazard, immediate measures like provision of cleaner fuels, better stoves, improved kitchen ventilation, better housing design and public awareness, should be taken by all concerned.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Certificate</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xviii</b>

## CHAPTER - 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background	1.1
1.2	Biomass fuel use: global scenario	1.7
1.3	Biomass fuel use in Indian subcontinent	1.8
1.4	Biomass fuel use in Haryana	1.10
1.5	Leading causes of biomass fuel use in rural India	1.10
1.6	Characteristics of biomass smoke	1.11
1.7	Emissions from biomass burning during domestic cooking	1.12
1.8	The vulnerable group	1.14
1.9	Health Impact of Biomass Fuel Use	1.15
1.10	Introduction to lung function tests	1.19
1.11	Literature Survey	1.23
1.11.1	Studies on Fuel use pattern in Domestic Sector	1.23
1.11.2	Studies on thermal efficiency and emission characteristics of biomass cookstoves	1.26

1.11.3	Indoor air pollutant levels in biomass using households- concentrations and exposures	1.27
1.11.4	Studies on health implications of indoor air pollution from biomass cooking fuels	1.29
1.11.5	Studies on Biomarker of exposure to Smoke	1.35
1.12	Present Study	1.37
1.12.1	The objectives of the study	1.37
1.12.2	Scope and relevance of study	1.38
1.12.3	Brief Overview of Chapter presented in the thesis	1.39

## **CHAPTER - 2: FUEL USE PATTERN AND SOCIO ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS**

2.1	Introduction	2.1
2.2	Methodology	2.2
2.2.1	Study Area	2.2
2.2.2	Selection of Study Households	2.4
2.3	Results and Discussion	2.5
2.3.1	Socioeconomic characteristics	2.5
2.3.2	Housing and kitchen characteristics	2.6
2.3.3	Fuel-use pattern	2.8
2.3.4	Stove type	2.9
2.3.5	Cooking habits	2.14
2.3.6	Cooking Fuel Characteristics	2.15
2.3.7	Cost of fuel	2.17

2.3.8	Effect of Smoke on Health	2.17
2.4	Concluding remarks	2.19

### **CHAPTER - 3: EMISSION TESTING AND EMISSION FACTORS**

3.1	Introduction	3.1
3.2	Methodology	3.2
3.2.1	Sampling from unvented cooking devices	3.2
3.2.2	Method of sampling and analysis	3.4
3.2.3	Measurement Techniques	3.5
3.2.4	Calorific value	3.6
3.2.5	Quality Control in sampling and Measurement	3.7
3.2.6	Estimation of Thermal Performance and Emission Factors	3.8
3.2.6.1	<i>Thermal Efficiency</i>	3.8
3.2.6.2	Estimation of emission factors	3.9
3.2.7	Proximate Analysis of Fuels	3.11
3.2.7.1	Moisture content of the biomass fuel	3.11
3.2.7.2	Volatile matter in the biomass fuel	3.12
3.2.7.3	Ash content of the biomass fuel	3.12
3.2.7.4	Fixed Carbon of the biomass fuel (FC)	3.13
3.2.8	Ultimate analysis of Fuels	3.13
3.2.9	Fuel/Stove Combinations	3.13
3.3	Results and Discussion	3.15
3.3.1	Emissions of pollutants	3.15

3.3.1.1	Emission of Carbon monoxide	3.16
3.3.1.2	Emission of Particulate matter (PM)	3.17
3.3.2	Thermal Efficiency	3.18
3.3.3	Emission Factors	3.20
3.3.3.1	Fuel mass based Emission factors	3.20
3.3.3.2	Fuel energy based Emission factors	3.22
3.3.4	Calorific value	3.22
3.3.5	Proximate analysis of biomass fuels	3.23
3.3.6	Ultimate analysis of biomass fuels	3.23
3.4	Concluding remarks	3.24

**CHAPTER- 4 EMISSIONS MONITORING IN FIELD AND EXPOSURE  
ESTIMATION**

4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.1.1	Approach to Exposure assessment	4.3
4.2	Methodology	4.6
4.2.1	Air quality measurements for PM 2.5 and CO in study households	4.6
4.2.1.1	Measurements for PM 2.5	4.6
4.2.1.2	Measurements for CO	4.6
4.2.2	Exposure estimate for the cooks	4.7
4.2.3	Statistical analysis	4.8
4.3	Results and discussion	4.8
4.3.1	Concentration of pollutants across fuel types	4.8

4.3.1.1	Concentration of PM2.5	4.8
4.3.1.2	Concentration of CO	4.9
4.3.2	Concentration of pollutants Across kitchen types	4.10
4.3.3	Exposure assessment of the Cook	4.12
4.3.3.1	Time-activity data	4.12
4.3.3.2	Daily average exposures	4.12
4.3.4	Exposure Levels of Pollutants for Cook	4.15
4.3.5	Correlation between cooking area concentrations and other exposure determinants	4.15
4.3.6	Correlation between PM2.5 and Co exposure	4.18
4.3.7	Multivariate Regression Model for PM2.5 & household variables	4.18
4.3.8	Multivariate Regression Model for CO with household variables	4.21
4.4	Concluding remarks	4.23

## **CHAPTER- 5: LUNG FUNCTION TEST AND RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS**

<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1.1	Pulmonary System	5.1
5.1.2	Effect of Air Pollutants on Respiratory System	5.2
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>5.3</b>
5.2.1	Selection of Study subjects	5.3
5.2.2	Health assessment of cooks	5.3
5.2.2.1	Questionnaire Survey For Respiratory Symptoms	5.4

5.2.2.2	Pulmonary Function Test By Spirometry	5.4
5.2.3	Diagnosis of COPD	5.5
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Results and Discussion</b>	<b>5.6</b>
5.3.1	Prevalence of Respiratory Symptoms	5.6
5.3.2	Prevalence of Other Symptoms	5.9
5.3.3	Health Index of Cook	5.13
5.3.4	Results of Lung Function Test	5.16
5.3.4.1	Lung Function Test results across fuel types	5.16
5.3.4.2	Lung Function Test results with respect to age	5.17
5.3.4.3	Lung function impairment	5.19
5.3.5	Multivariate Regression Model for FEV1/FVC with symptoms, age, and fuel type	5.20
5.3.6	Multivariate Regression Model for Composite index with exposure, and fuel type	5.22
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Concluding remarks</b>	<b>5.24</b>

## **CHAPTER -6 EXHALED BREATH CO AS BIOMARKER OF EXPOSURE**

<b>6.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>6.1</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>6.2</b>
6.2.1	Selection of study subjects	6.2
6.2.2	Measurement of Breath CO	6.3

6.2.3	Statistical analysis	6.4
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Results and discussion</b>	<b>6.4</b>
6.3.1	Breath CO levels before exposure to cooking smoke	6.4
6.3.2	Breath CO levels after exposure to cooking smoke	6.5
6.3.3	Blood CO levels before exposure to cooking smoke	6.7
6.3.4	Blood CO levels after exposure to cooking smoke	6.9
6.3.5	Association between Breath CO and Blood CO Levels	6. 10
6.3.6	Correlation among Exhaled breath CO and indoor CO (cooking)	6.11
6.3.7	Multivariate Regression Model for Exhaled breath CO with indoor cooking CO	6.13
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>6.14</b>
 <b>CHAPTER -7 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		
7.1	Conclusions	7.1
7.2	Recommendations	7.3
 <b>References</b>		 <b>R-1</b>
 <b>Appendix I</b>	 <b>Household Survey Questionnaire</b>	 <b>A-1</b>
<b>Appendix II</b>	<b>Health Questionnaire</b>	<b>A-10</b>
<b>Appendix III</b>	<b>Description of stoves and fuels tested in the study</b>	<b>A-14</b>