

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF
STAND-ALONE PHOTOVOLTAIC (SAPV)
ARRAY SYSTEM**

by

RAKHI SHARMA

Centre for Energy Studies

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

July, 2012

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Performance Evaluation of Stand-alone Photovoltaic (SAPV) Array System**”, being submitted by **Rakhi Sharma** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, is worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of ‘**Doctor of Philosophy**’ and is a **record of the original** bonafide research work carried out by her under our guidance and supervision. The results contained in the thesis have not been submitted in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. G.N. Tiwari
Professor
Centre for Energy Studies
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016

Dr. R. Uma
Assistant Professor
Centre for Energy Studies
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016

Date: July, 2012

Acknowledgement

It is my immense pleasure to express the deep sense of gratitude to my supervisors, **Prof. G.N. Tiwari** and **Dr. R. Uma**, Centre for Energy Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, for their constant inspiring guidance and utmost cooperation at every stage that helped me in successful completion of this research work. I am indebted to **Prof. G.N. Tiwari** who ignited the candle of research in me and explored the potential in a methodical way leading to this state of thesis submission. It would have not been possible for me to accomplish this work without his valuable guidance and constant encouragement.

I also express my gratitude to Prof. R. P. Sharma, Head, Centre for Energy Studies, Prof. T. S. Bhatti, Prof. S. C. Kaushik, Dr. Subodh Kumar, Prof. S. C. Mullick, Prof. A. Chandra and many others of Centre for Energy Studies for their kind advice and assistance from time to time. My sincere thanks go to Dr. I. P. Singh, Associate professor, IDDC for providing moral support and encouragement for the present research work.

My special thanks go to my colleagues and friends Mr. Shashank Shrivastava, Dr. Sujata Nayak, Dr. Rahul Dev, Dr. Basant Agrawal, Dr. Manoj Kumar Gaur, Dr. Jamil Ahmad, Mr. Rajeev Mishra, Mr. Chandra Shekhar Rajoria, Mr. Ravi P. Agrhari, Mr. Sanjay Agrawal and Ms. Preeti Sinha and many others for their cooperation and moral support.

I convey my sincere thanks to Mr. Lakhmi Chand, Mr. Shankar Lal Sharma and staff members of IIT, Delhi for their kind support during experimental work and help in completing this research work.

I am grateful to Prof. V.K. Sharma for his constant cooperation, fruitful discussion and positive supports. I am thankful to all my colleagues of School of Engineering and Technology, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi for extending all possible support for the works related to my department.

I have no befitting words to express deep sentiments towards my husband Mr. Rahul Sharma, my lovely sons Shaurya and Chiki for their cooperation and patience in bearing with me during the research work. Last but not the least, I express my deep heartfelt gratitude to my respected parents Smt. Rajkumari Sharma, Shri S.N. Sharma; mother-in-law; Smt. Asha Sharma, father-in-law Shri R.D. Sharma for their whole hearted support and blessings, which helped me to reach this target.

Date: July, 2012

(Rakhi Sharma)

Abstract

In developing countries, wide varieties of renewable energy projects are in progress and among them photovoltaic system has emerged as most promising as a future energy technology. There is a large scope for utilization of solar energy, and if sustained efforts are made, renewable energy sources can meet a significant part of the energy needs. The renewable global status report (2007) indicates that the power production by way of solar photovoltaic (PV) has grown more than any other renewable energy source. There still exist many constraints, and the problems that need to be examined from the economic, technical, operational and institutional viewpoints. It has also become necessary to evaluate on field performance of existing old PV system to assess its on field performance degradation. Utilization of this Photovoltaic technology could be stimulated through dissemination of information, training services, subsidies and credit facilities. Installation and performance evaluation of few small to medium capacity photovoltaic (PV) units is very essential. It is essential that potential users should be made aware of the technology through the fundamental principles on which it is based and increased understanding of the processes.

Most of performance assessment methods for on-field performance evaluation of stand-alone PV array system found in literatures are either needed detailed data or complex to use or usually restricted to economic performance evaluation. A convenient low-cost alternative is needed for developing countries to monitor on field array performance.

In the present thesis an attempt has been made to develop simplified methodology and mathematical model to evaluate on-field daily and subsequently monthly and then annual performance of a photovoltaic (PV) array and its individual component subarrays in terms of electrical energy output and actual electrical efficiency or power conversion efficiency. To obtain more effective and realistic assessment calculated on-field technical performance

results obtained from experimental observations have been compared with the potential performance results obtained from the expressions developed for estimating rated/max. performance indices of PV array/subarrays. From the on-field hourly measured experimental observations over a day on two individual component subarrays of 1.2 kW_p and 1.12 kW_p of 2.32 kW_p stand-alone PV array system, installed at IIT Delhi, India, it was found that the performance indices calculated for subarray1: 1.2 kW_p (Siemens) were quite considerable, but poor performance of subarray2: 1.12 kW_p in turn significantly affecting the entire PV array: 2.32 kW_p by reducing its overall technical performance.

Annual performance evaluation of existing PV array/subarrays on the basis of on field measured experimental observations and numeric computation have been presented. Extensive experiments have been carried out to obtain a clear day observations in each month during a year from July'2009 to June'2010 on existing on two component subarrays of 2.32kW_p PV array system. As per proposed methodology of performance assessment a new set of analytical expressions has been evolved. It was found that in actual on field operating conditions out of total experimentally calculated PV array electrical energy generation of 1442.5kWh/year ,subarray1(Siemens) shares 899.9kWh/year (62.4%) and subarray2 (CEL) shares 542.6kWh/year (37.6%). PV array/subarrays production factor has been evaluated. For existing PV array system Power conversion efficiency has been calculated 7.7% for subarray1, 3.5% for subarray2 and 5.3% for entire PV array on the basis of over a year experimental measurement. Whereas, estimated maximum power conversion efficiency with same actual experimental environmental conditions (i.e. solar intensity, ambient temperature and PV operating temperature conditions) should be 11.41% for subarray1, 7.99% for subarray2 and 9.45% for entire PV array consisting of both subarrays in operation.

A new terminology overall PV degradation loss factor has been proposed and simplified methodology and analytical expressions to evaluate the overall PV degradation

loss factor for PV array has also been developed. On the basis of on field monthly electrical energy output performance of PV array/subarrays obtained from clear day observations in each month during a year and numeric computations, overall PV degradation loss factors due to combine effect of various losses caused by monthly climatic variation over a year and long term exposure of existing PV array/subarrays have been evaluated in the range of 0.230 to 0.429 for subarray1 (Siemens make), 0.497 to 0.648 for subarray2 (CEL make) and 0.363 to 0.538 for entire existing PV array of 2.32 kW_p. Temperature dependent loss factor for subarray1 and subarray2 has been found almost same in the range of 0.014-0.138. Experimental results on a practical system can be useful for assessing and predicting PV modules/subarrays/arrays effectiveness for onsite power generation.

Need of minimum battery sizing under certain suitable operating conditions and applications to obtain minimum cost and less maintenance batteries in PV system has been expressed. Simplified battery sizing methodology to estimate required and installed battery bank size of given rated power stand alone PV system on the basis of night load demand and peak sunshine hours conditions has been presented. Minimum battery bank capacity for given rated power of stand-alone PV (SAPV) system has been estimated for different mode of operations using conventional and proposed modified battery sizing methods.

Expressions and calculations of basic Energy Matrices, Energy Payback Time (EPBT); Energy Production Factor (EPF); Life Cycle Conversion Efficiency (LCCE) in order to evaluate overall performance of given rated PV systems have been incorporated. Life cycle cost analysis for SAPV systems based on cost per unit energy has been estimated. Carbon credit earned by stand-alone solar photovoltaic system has also been evaluated. The life cycle analysis of the existing outdoor stand-alone PV (SAPV) system (almost 20 years old) with actual on field performance evaluates present unit cost of electricity Rs. 47.62 per kWh as rooftop mounted system and Rs. 66.78 per kWh as ground mounted system.

Whereas this same SAPV system with potential (max.) performance estimated at on-field existing experimental environmental conditions (as newly installed system) evaluates the unit cost of electricity Rs. 26.30 /kWh and Rs. 34.19/kWh for rooftop mounting and ground mounting respectively.

Table of Contents

	Page No.
Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	viii
List of figures	xiv
List of tables	xvii
Nomenclature	xx
Chapter - I General Introduction	1-18
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Performance evaluation review of photovoltaic (PV) system	5
1.3 Solar (Photovoltaic) cell and related basic terminology	7
1.3.1 Short circuit current (I_{sc})	9
1.3.2 Open circuit voltage (V_{oc})	9
1.3.3 Fill factor (FF)	10
1.3.4 Efficiency of solar cell (η_c)	10
1.4 Photovoltaic(PV) module	11
1.5 Component of stand-alone photovoltaic system	12
1.5.1 PV generator (cell, module, array)	12
1.5.2 Battery	13
1.5.3 Charge controller	15
1.5.4 Inverter	15

1.5.5	Balance of system(BOS)	16
1.6	Objectives of research work	16
1.7	Organization of the chapters	17
Chapter - II Experimental Setup and Development of Computational Method to Assess Technical Performance of PV Arrays		19-41
2.1.	Introduction	19
2.2	System description and experimental instrumentation	21
2.2.1	Design and installation of 2.32 kW _p stand-alone PV system	21
2.2.2	Design specification of photovoltaic modules/subarrays/array	24
2.2.3	Experimental instrumentation and observations	25
2.3	Simplified Computational method to evaluate actual on-field daily performance indices	26
2.3.1	Electrical energy output of PV array	27
2.3.2	Power conversion efficiency or actual electrical efficiency of PV array	28
2.4	Computational methods to estimate rated /max. daily performance indices	29
2.4.1	Estimation of rated daily electrical energy output of on-field PV array	29
2.4.1.1	Determination of equivalent hours of full sunshine (h _{EFS})	30
2.4.2	Estimation of rated power conversion efficiency or electrical efficiency of PV array	30
2.4.3	Temperature effect on rated performance indices of PV array	31
2.4.3.1	Estimation of maximum electrical energy output with PV operating temperature effect	32

2.4.3.2	Estimation of maximum power conversion efficiency with PV operating temperature effect	32
2.5	Results and discussion	33
2.6	Summary	40
Chapter - III Annual Performance Evaluation of Stand-alone Photovoltaic (SAPV) Arrays		42-64
3.1.	Introduction	42
3.2.	Need of proposed on-field performance evaluation	43
3.3.	Outdoor monitoring and methodology of performance assessment	45
3.4.	Analytical expressions to evaluate actual on-field annual performance	48
3.5	Analytical expressions to estimate potential(max.) annual performance	51
3.5.1	Maximum annual electrical energy output estimation with existing environmental conditions	51
3.5.2	Maximum annual electrical efficiency estimation of existing on-field PV array based on annual performance	53
3.6	Results and discussion	53
3.6.1	Comparative representation of actual and potential annual performance	60
3.7	Summary	63
Chapter - IV Evaluation of Degradation Loss Factors for Stand-alone Photovoltaic (SAPV) Arrays		65-82
4.1.	Introduction	
4.2.	Concept of overall PV degradation loss factor	65
4.3	Methodology for evaluating overall PV degradation loss factor	66
4.3.1	Evaluation of on field performance of PV array/subarrays	66

4.3.1.1	Computational Method 1	67
4.3.1.2	Computational Method 2	67
4.3.2	Evaluation of overall PV degradation loss factor	68
4.4	Evaluation of temperature dependent loss factor	71
4.5	Results and discussion	73
4.6	Summary	73
Chapter - V	Battery Sizing for a Given Rated Power of Stand-alone	81
	Photovoltaic System	83-104
5.1	Introduction	
5.2	Problem associated with electrochemical storage battery in stand-alone PV System	83 87
5.3	Need and suitable conditions for estimating minimum battery size based on night load demand	89
5.4	Technical terms in battery sizing	
5.4.1	Equivalent hours of full sunshine (h_{EFS})	91
5.4.2	Nominal voltage of the battery bank	91
5.4.3	Some important notions for battery	91
5.5	Battery sizing methodology for given rated power of stand-alone PV system	91 92
5.5.1	Estimation of connected optimal electrical load	
5.5.2	Methodology for battery sizing	92
5.6	Estimation of optimal battery size of given rated PV system for different mode of operation	93 95
5.6.1	Minimum battery sizing with modified and conventional method: Comparative assessment	99

5.7 Summary

Chapter - VI Life Cycle Assessment of Stand-alone Photovoltaic System	103
6.1 Introduction	105-129
6.2 Methodology	105
6.3 Embodied energy Consumption	107
6.4 Mathematical formulations of energy metrics	109
6.4.1 Energy payback time (EPBT)	110
6.4.2 Electricity production factor (EPF)	111
6.4.3 Life cycle conversion efficiency (LCCE)	111
6.5 Life cycle cost assessment	112
6.5.1 Capital Cost	112
6.5.2 Maintenance and Repair Costs	113
6.5.3 Replacement Costs	113
6.5.4 Salvage Value	113
6.5.5 Annualized uniform cost (uncost)	114
6.5.6 Cost per unit electricity	114
6.6 Results and discussion	114
6.6.1 PV system electrical energy generation	115
6.6.2 Energy payback time (EPBT) for different conditions	115
6.6.3 Energy production factor(EPF) for different conditions	117
6.6.4 Life cycle conversion efficiency (LCCE)	118
6.7 Life cycle cost assessment	119
6.8 CO ₂ Emission, Mitigation and Carbon Credit	119
6.8.1 CO ₂ Emission	124
6.8.2 CO ₂ Mitigation	124

6.8.3	Carbon credit earned	124
6.9	Summary	126
CHAPTER - VII Conclusions and Recommendations		127
8.1	Conclusions	130-134
8.2	Recommandations	130
References		133
Appendix		135-146
List of Publications		147-158
Brief Bio-data of the Author		159
		160